



**Shell injuries in *Densepustula* LAZAREV, 1982,  
Pennsylvanian productidine brachiopod  
from the Donets Basin, Ukraine**

**Vitaly DERNOV <sup>1</sup>**

**Abstract:** Nine injured ventral valves of productidine brachiopods belonging to the genus *Densepustula* LAZAREV, 1982, from the Mospyne, Smolyanynivka, Belaya Kalitva, and Kamensk formations (Upper Bashkirian-lower Moscovian, Lower and Middle Pennsylvanian) of the Donets Basin (eastern Ukraine) were studied. Three morphological types of damage traces have been recognized: Type A) longitudinal, sublongitudinal, and transversal thin straight or sinuous furrows, about 5-7 mm long and 0.5-1.5 mm thick; Type B) rounded and ellipsoidal pits, about 3-4 mm in diameter, located on the umbo, the anterior margin of the ventral valve, and the lateral slopes of the ventral valve; and Type C) irregularly-shaped dimples on the anterior margin and in the sulcus, 2-3 mm in size. These injuries are present on 9 out of 61 (15%) of ventral valves studied, but entirely absent from dorsal valves (0 out of 25). The most likely producers of these damage traces are cartilaginous fishes and parasites of unclear affinity.

**Keywords:**

- Productida;
- Carboniferous;
- shell injuries;
- palaeoecology

**Citation:** DERNOV V. (2024).- Shell injuries in *Densepustula* LAZAREV, 1982, Pennsylvanian productidine brachiopod from the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Carnets Geol.*, Madrid, vol. 24, no. 9, p. 143-162. DOI: [10.2110/carnets.2024.2409](https://doi.org/10.2110/carnets.2024.2409)

**Résumé : Lésions de la coquille chez *Densepustula* LAZAREV, 1982, brachiopode productidine du Pennsylvanien du Bassin du Donetsk, Ukraine.-** Cette étude porte sur neuf valves ventrales endommagées du brachiopode productidine *Densepustula* LAZAREV, 1982, récoltées dans les formations de Mospyne, Smolyanynivka, Belaya Kalitva et Kamensk (Bashkirien supérieur et du Moscovien inférieur, Pennsylvanien inférieur et moyen) du bassin du Donetsk (Ukraine orientale). Trois types morphologiques de lésions ont été identifiés : Type A) sillons longitudinaux, sublongitudinaux et transversaux minces, droits ou sinueux, d'environ 5-7 mm de longueur et 0,5-1,5 mm d'épaisseur ; Type B) fosses arrondies et ellipsoïdales, d'environ 3-4 mm de diamètre, situées sur l'umbo, le bord antérieur de la valve ventrale et les pentes latérales de la valve ventrale ; Type C) fossettes de formes irrégulières sur le bord antérieur et dans le sulcus, d'une taille de 2-3 mm. Ces marques sont présentes sur 9 des 61 (15%) valves ventrales étudiées, mais absentes les 25 valves dorsales. Les responsables de ces lésions sont probablement des poissons cartilagineux et des parasites d'affinité systématique incertaine.

**Mots-clefs :**

- Productida ;
- Carbonifère ;
- lésions de la coquille ;
- paléoécologie

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geological Sciences of the NAS of Ukraine, Department of Palaeontology and Stratigraphy of Palaeozoic Sediments, Oles Honchar Str., 55b, Kyiv (Ukraine)  
[vitalydernov@gmail.com](mailto:vitalydernov@gmail.com)





## 1. Introduction

The study of fossils with abnormalities and malformations is an important source of information about the palaeoecology of organisms, as well as their evolution, distribution patterns, and more (e.g., PEEL, 1984; LINDSTRÖM, 2003; EBBESTAD & HÖGSTRÖM, 2009; ZATOŇ *et al.*, 2015; HOFFMANN *et al.*, 2018; BICKNELL & KIMMIG, 2023). Productidine brachiopods were a significant component of Late Palaeozoic marine ecosystems (LAZAREV, 1990). Their remains are present in marine rocks of different lithologies formed under various depositional conditions (MUIR-WOOD & COOPER, 1960; LAZAREV, 1990, and references therein). Consequently, these brachiopods are well studied among many other groups of Late Palaeozoic marine biota.

The Donets Basin in eastern Ukraine is a key region for studying the Carboniferous marine and terrestrial biota of the Palaeoequatorial belt, particularly the brachiopods, as the Mississippian and Pennsylvanian sequences here are very rich in both animal and plant fossils. Despite the widespread occurrence of productidines in the Carboniferous deposits of the Donets Basin (ROTAI, 1931, 1952, 1980; LIKHAREV, 1938; AISENVERG, 1950, 1951, 1983; AISENVERG *et al.*, 1963), they have not been studied in sufficient detail.

Carboniferous brachiopods with injuries are known from many localities around the world (e.g., SARYTCHEVA, 1949; BRUNTON, 1966; ALEXANDER, 1981; MUNDY, 1982; ELLIOTT & BOUNDS, 1987; ELLIOTT & BREW, 1988), but such fossils have never been recorded in Ukraine. While the Carboniferous biota of Ukraine (e.g., corals, bryozoans, bivalves, gastropods) have been studied in relatively great detail, there is very little data or evidence of lifetime skeletal injuries in animals. Notable mentions of shell injuries include an ammonoid conch and tubeworm *Coleolus* from the Mospyne Formation (Upper Bashkirian, Lower Pennsylvanian) as figured by DERNOV (2022a: Fig. 4g, 2022b: Fig. 4b-d, respectively). DERNOV (2022c, 2023c) also described non-predation injuries to the shells of the non-marine bivalves *Carbonicola rectilinearis* TRUEMAN & WEIR, 1948, *C. limax* WRIGHT, 1934, and *C. acuta* (SOWERBY, 1813) from the lacustrine shales of the Upper Bashkirian Mospyne and Smolyanynivka formations. DERNOV and POLETAEV (2024: Fig. 9l) reported a shell injury on the external and inner surfaces of valves of the spiriferid brachiopod *?Anthracospirifer* sp. from the Sukha Ravine fossil site of the Mospyne Formation (see the section "Geological setting"). Additionally, DERNOV (2023a: Fig. 4D) figured non-lethal shell injuries in the nautilids *Parametaceras* from the Upper Bashkirian-lower Moscovian Kamensk Formation.

The author, studying the productidine brachiopods *Densepustula* LAZAREV, 1982, from the Pennsylvanian deposits of the Donets Basin, discovered several injured ventral valves belonging to this genus. This work is devoted to the study of

these shell injuries and the investigation of their possible producers.

## 2. Geological setting

The Donets Basin is part of the northwest-southeast trending deep Pripyat-Dnipro-Donets intracratonic rift, which extends from the Baltic to the Caspian Sea across Belarus, Ukraine, and Russia. This rift is located between the Voronezh Anticline in the north and the Ukrainian Shield in the south, in the southwestern part of the East European Craton (IZART *et al.*, 1996; EROS *et al.*, 2012; SACHSENHOFER *et al.*, 2012; HINSBERGEN *et al.*, 2015).

### Localities

The present material comes from six fossil sites of the Donets Basin (Luhansk and Donetsk regions, Ukraine; Figs. 1-2), from the Upper Bashkirian Mospyne, Smolyanynivka, and Belaya Kalitva formations, as well as from the Upper Bashkirian-lower Moscovian Kamensk Formation. These fossil sites are briefly described below.


#### 1) Sukha Ravine-1 fossil site:

Location: Luhansk Region, Luhansk District, Sukha Ravine, 2 km east of the village of Make-donivka (GPS coordinates: 48°14'28.4"N, 39°20'06.4"E).

Description: The fossiliferous rock is a brownish-grey, fine-grained, quartz and feldspathic, calcareous, strongly bioturbated sandstone. This layer lies 55 meters below the G<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> limestone bed of the Mospyne Formation (Fig. 3.A, C-D).

Fossils: Numerous fossils have been collected from this layer (MYCHKO & DERNOV, 2019; DERNOV, 2021, 2022a; DERNOV & POLETAEV, 2024, and author's unpublished data), including terrestrial plants (*Calamites* and *Sigillaria*), bryozoans, brachiopods [*Angiospirifer* sp., *Brachythyrina* ex gr. *proba* (ROTAI, 1951), *Alphachoristites* (A.) *kschemyschensis* (SEMICHATOVA, 1941), A. (A.) ex gr. *bisulcatiformis* (SEMICHATOVA, 1941), *?Anthracospirifer* sp., *Densepustula* sp., *?Balakhonia* sp., etc.], scaphopods, gastropods, bivalves (species of genera *Phestia*, *Sanguinolites*, *Palaeoneilo*, etc.), orthocerids, coiled nautiloids (*Gzheloceras* sp., *Planetoceras yefimenkoi* DERNOV, 2021, *Paradomato-ceras applanatum* DELÉPINE, 1937, *Megaglossoceras* sp., etc.), ammonoids [*Melvilloceras rotaii* (LIBROVITCH in POPOV, 1979), *Gastrioceras angustum* PATTEISKY, 1964, *Branneroceras* sp.], crinoids, trilobites [*Ditomopyge* (*Carniphillipsia*) *kumpani* (WEBER, 1933)], fishes (*Listracanthus*, *Lagarodus*, etc.), and trace fossils (*Crescentichnus*, *Planolites*, *Zoophycos*, fish coprolites).

At this site and all those described below, *Densepustula* is one of the dominant brachiopod genera, but brachiopods are a relatively rare faunal group and less numerous than mollusks. In addition to *Densepustula* sp., shell damages from the Sukha Ravine-1 locality were recorded on valves of the spiriferides *?Anthracospirifer* sp. (DERNOV & POLETAEV, 2024) and *Alphachoristites* spp., as well as the productidines *?Balakhonia* sp. (author's unpublished data).



**Figure 1:** Geographical location of the brachiopod-bearing fossil sites. Geological map in Fig. 1C modified from the Geological Map of the USSR (1:200,000).

## 2) Sukha Ravine-2 fossil site:

**Location:** Luhansk Region, Luhansk District, Sukha Ravine 2 km east of the village of Makedonivka (GPS coordinates: 48°14'28.4"N, 39°20'06.4"E).

**Description:** The fossil-bearing rock is a dark grey mudstone with siderite nodules below the  $G_1^2$  limestone layer of the Mospyne Formation (Fig. 3.B, F).

**Fossils:** The mudstone contains very rare rugose corals and the brachiopods (*Lingularia* sp., *Densepustula* sp.), as well as scaphopods, gastropods, bivalves (species of the genera *Phestia*, *Sanguinolites*, *Palaeoneilo*, *Solenomorpha*, etc.), orthocerids, coiled nautiloids (*Liroceras* sp., *Metaceras perelegans* GIRTY, 1911, *Peripetoceras* sp.), ammonoids [*Cymoceras* sp., *Melvilloceras rotaii* (LIBROVITCH in POPOV, 1979), *Branneroceras* spp., *Gastrioceras* sp., *Bisatoceras* sp.], allochthonous terrestrial plants (species of the genera *Calamites*, *Lepidostrobophyllum*, *Lepidodendron*, *Cordaites*), problematics *Coleolus carbonarius* DEMANET, 1938, and trace fossils (*Chondrites*, *Cyclopuncta*, fecal pellets, bromalites) (DERNOV, 2022a, 2022b). The injured tube of *Coleolus* from this locality was figured by the author earlier (DERNOV, 2022b: Fig. 4b-d).

## 3) Lozova River fossil site:

**Location:** Luhansk Region, right bank of the Lozova River near the town of Bryanka (GPS coordinates: 48°31'55.1"N, 38°43'34.0"E).

**Description:** The rock with *Densepustula* sp. is a light grey, clayey limestone ( $H_6^1$  limestone layer, Smolyanynivka Formation).


**Fossils:** The brachiopods from this locality were studied by AISENVERG (1950), who identified a rich assemblage consisting of about 20 species and approximately 14 genera, which, however, requires revision.

## 4) Paramonova Ravine fossil site:

**Location:** Luhansk Region, Alchevs'k District, Paramonova Ravine near the village of Horodysche (GPS coordinates: 48°19'23.0"N, 38°38'53.2"E).

**Description:** The fossil-bearing rock is a light grey clayey limestone ( $H_6^1$  limestone layer, Smolyanynivka Formation).

**Fossils:** Brachiopods from this locality were studied by AISENVERG (1950). However, the taxonomic content of the brachiopod assemblage requires significant revision.



**Figure 2:** Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Donets Basin. A - stratigraphic scheme of the Carboniferous deposits of the Donets Basin (modified after NEMYROVSKA *et al.*, 2013); B - stratigraphic position of the brachiopod-bearing fossil sites (lithological column modified from POLETAEV *et al.*, 2011). Abbreviations: Mississipp. = Mississippian, M. Volnovakha Gr. = Mokra Volnovakha Group, Serpukhov. = Serpukhovian.

### 5) Vovchans'k fossil site:

Location: Donetsk Region, Pokrovs'k District, the right bank of the Vovcha River near the town of Vovchans'k (GPS coordinates unknown).

Description and fossils: The fossil-bearing rock is a grey, crystalline, massive limestone (I<sub>1</sub> limestone layer, Belaya Kalitva Formation) with foraminiferans, calcareous algae, and brachiopods (AISENBERG, 1950, 1951).

### 6) Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna mine fossil site:

Location: Luhansk Region, the heap spoil of the Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna coal mine near the town of Lutuhyn (GPS coordinates: 48°25' 25.4"N, 39°12'24.9"E).

Description: The *Densepustula*-bearing rock is a dark grey, carbonaceous, sometimes pyritized siltstone with large carbonate nodules and inter-layers or lenses of coquina. This siltstone is a roof shale of the k<sub>7</sub><sup>L</sup> coal layer (Kamensk Formation).

Fossils: Numerous fossils have been collected from this stratigraphic horizon (DERNOV, 2023a, 2023b), including ?worm tubes, brachiopods [*Orbiculoidea nitida* (PHILLIPS, 1836), *Lingularia mytiloides* (SOWERBY, 1812), *Derbyia* sp., *Neochonetes donetzianus* (AISENBERG, 1950), *Densepustula* sp.], bivalves (species of the genera *Palaeoneilo*, *Phestia*, *Sanguinolites*, etc.), gastropods (species of the genera *Euphemites*, *Retispira*, *Bucaniopsis*,



**Figure 3:** Lithological and palaeontological features of some studied fossil sites. A - sandstone bed of the Sukha Ravine-1 fossil site; B - black shale of the Sukha Ravine-2 fossil site; C - mud cracks on the upper surface of a sandstone bed (Sukha Ravine-1); D - heavy bioturbated sandstone (Sukha Ravine-1); E - Lutuhyn's'ka Pivnichna coal mine fossil site; F - cluster of bivalves, gastropods, and cephalopods in a siderite nodule (Sukha Ravine-2; scale bar = 30 mm); G - large carbonate nodule (Lutuhyn's'ka-Pivnichna mine).



*Naticopsis*, *Soleniscus*), orthocerids, coiled nautiloids (species of the genera *Gzheloceras*, *Parametacoceras*, *Metacoceras*, *Temnocheilus*, *Peripetoceras*, *Coelogasteroceras*, *Ephippioceras*, etc.), ammonoids (*Wiedeyoceras clarum* POPOV, 1979, *Winslowoceras* sp.), trilobites [*Paladin* cf. *lutugini* (WEBER, 1933)], fishes (*Symmorium* and *Venustodus*), terrestrial plants (*Calamites* sp.), bromalites and some other trace fossils. The shells of some bivalves, gastropods, and coiled nautiloids from this fossil site bear color patterns (DERNOV, 2023b, 2024a). Shell injury of a coiled nautiloid *Parametacoceras* from this locality has been figured by the author (DERNOV, 2023b: Fig. 4D).

### Regional stratigraphy

The Mospyne Formation consists of a succession of sandstones, siltstones, mudstones, coals, and limestones. The thickness of the formation varies from 315 meters in the northwest part of the Donets Basin to 730 meters in its southeast part (AISENBERG *et al.*, 1963, 1975; FEOFILOVA & LEVENSTEIN, 1963; DUNAeva, 1969; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013). The Mospyne Formation corresponds to the lower part of the Zuyivkian Horizon (lower half of the Kayalian Regional Stage) of the Regional stratigraphic scheme of the Dnipro-Donets Downwarp (POLETAEV *et al.*, 2011; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013). Hereinafter, the transliteration of names of the stratigraphic horizons follows NEMYROVSKA (2017).

The Smolyanynivka Formation consists of a succession of sandstones, siltstones, mudstones, coals, and limestones. This stratigraphic unit is characterized by thick (40-60 meters) beds of coarse-grained alluvial sandstones, which have their own names (e.g., Babakovskiy sandstone bed). This formation is notable for having the highest coal content among the Bashkirian deposits of the Donets Basin. The thickness of the formation varies from 250 meters in the northwestern part of the Donets Basin to 1400 meters in the southeastern part of the basin (AISENBERG *et al.*, 1963, 1975; FEOFILOVA & LEVENSTEIN, 1963; DUNAeva, 1969; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013). The Smolyanynivka Formation corresponds to the upper part of the Zuyivkian and Makiivkian horizons (upper half of the Kayalian Regional Stage) in the Regional stratigraphic scheme of the Dnipro-Donets Downwarp (POLETAEV *et al.*, 2011; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013).

The Belaya Kalitva Formation consists of a succession of sandstones, siltstones, mudstones, coals, and limestones. A characteristic feature of the Belaya Kalitva Formation in the study area is the presence of beds of so-called "jasper-like rocks". The thickness of the formation varies from 150 m in the northwestern part of the Donets Basin to 650 m in the southeastern part of the basin (AISENBERG *et al.*, 1963, 1975; FEOFILOVA & LEVENSTEIN, 1963; DUNAeva, 1969; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013). The Belaya Kalitva Formation corresponds to the uppermost part of the Makiivkian and Krasnodonian horizons (upper half of the Ka-

yalian Regional Stage) of the regional stratigraphic scheme in the Dnipro-Donets Downwarp (POLETAEV *et al.*, 2011; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013).

The Kamensk Formation consists of a sequence of sandstones, siltstones, mudstones, coals, and limestones, varying in thickness from 300 meters in the northwestern part of the Donets Basin to 1050 meters in the southeastern part of this region (AISENBERG *et al.*, 1963, 1975; DUNAeva, 1969; POLETAEV *et al.*, 2011; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013). The Kamensk Formation corresponds almost completely with the Kam'iankian Horizon (lower half of the Lozivkian Regional Stage); only the Bashkirian portion of this formation is part of the Krasnodonian Horizon (uppermost part of the Kayalian Regional Stage) in the Regional stratigraphic scheme of the Dnipro-Donets Downwarp (POLETAEV *et al.*, 2011; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013).

### Age

Deposits of the Mospyne and Smolyanynivka formations contain typical Langsettian terrestrial plants (NOVIK, 1952, 1974; FISSUNENKO, 1991; DERNOV & UDovyCHENKO, 2019b). The nonmarine bivalves, characteristic of the upper part of the *lenisulcata* and *communis* zones, were found in the Mospyne Formation (DERNOV, 2022e); bivalves typical of the *communis* and lower *modiolaris* zones were identified from the Smolyanynivka Formation by SERGEEVA (1984). The Mospyne Formation and almost all of the Smolyanynivka Formation (stratigraphic interval between the G<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>6</sub> limestone layers) belong to the *Gastrioceras-Branneroceras* Genozone (POPOV, 1979; DERNOV, 2022a).

The Belaya Kalitva Formation contains Duckmantian fossil plants (NOVIK, 1952, 1974; FISSUNENKO, 1991). Non-marine bivalves from the upper *modiolaris* and *pulchra+similis* zones were found in the rocks of the Belaya Kalitva and lower part of the Kamensk formations (SERGEEVA, 1984). The Belaya Kalitva Formation and the lower part of the Kamensk Formation (stratigraphic interval bounded by the H<sub>6</sub> and K<sub>2</sub> limestone beds) belong to the *Diaboloceras-Axinolobus* Genozone (POPOV, 1979; DERNOV, 2023a).

The lower boundary of the Moscovian in the Donets Basin is at the base of the K<sub>3</sub> limestone layer (lower part of the Kamensk Formation) (POLETAEV *et al.*, 2011; NEMYROVSKA & YEFIMENKO, 2013), but this is somewhat conditional, since the GSSP of this boundary is not defined. Moreover, modern conodont and fusulinid studies (e.g., NEMYROVSKA, 1999, 2017; DAVYDOV, 2009; KHODJANYAZOVA *et al.*, 2014; NEMYROVSKA & HU, 2018) indicate the position of this boundary at the base of the K<sub>1</sub> limestone bed, the basal layer of the Kamensk Formation.





The stratigraphic interval containing the  $k_7^L$  coal layer was assigned to the early Moscovian *Diaboloceras-Winslowoceras* Genozone by Popov (1979). Unfortunately, he does not substantiate this assignment, as all ammonoid species described by him do not unambiguously determine the age of the Kamensk Formation. The absolute age of the volcanic ash interlayer in the  $k_7$  coal bed is  $313.16 \pm 0.08$  Ma (DAVYDOV *et al.*, 2010).

### 3. Material and methods

Of the 61 ventral valves of *Densepustula* examined, only nine bear injuries, *i.e.*, approximately 15% of the total number of valves (Table 1). Studied specimens are represented by pyritized and sideritized, moderately and poorly-preserved isolated ventral valves, as well as their external molds. Injuries have also been recorded on shells of other brachiopods from the fossil sites described above, but representatives of the genus *Densepustula* are the most numerous among them. In addition, representatives of this genus have not been previously recorded in the Pennsylvanian of Ukraine.

**Table 1:** Studied material.

| Fossil site                 | Number of specimens | Specimens                      |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Sukha Ravine-1              | 1                   | IGS NASU-11/48                 |
| Sukha Ravine-2              | 2                   | IGS NASU-11/44, IGS NASU-11/87 |
| Lozova River                | 2                   | IGS NASU-11/25, IGS NASU-11/26 |
| Paramonova Ravine           | 1                   | IGS NASU-11/61                 |
| Vovchans'k                  | 1                   | IGS NASU-11/84                 |
| Lutuhyn's'ka-Pivnichna mine | 2                   | IGS NASU-11/01, IGS NASU-11/04 |

Studied material was collected over the past 50 years by the author, Dr. Mykola I. UDovyCHENKO (Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University, Poltava), Dr. Vladyslav I. POLETAEV, and the late Dr. David Ye. AISENVERG (both from the Institute of Geological Sciences of the NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv). The studied collection (IGS NASU-11) is stored in the Department of Palaeontology and Stratigraphy of Palaeozoic Sediments, Institute of Geological Sciences of the NAS of Ukraine.

Although the studied material was collected by different researchers at different times, it can be reasonably assumed that all the fossils identified were collected, not just those that were the most well-preserved or attractive (personal communication with Drs. Vladyslav POLETAEV and Mykola UDovyCHENKO, January 2022, field notes by Dr. David AISENVERG). The scheme of injuries measurements is shown in Figure 4. BICKNELL & KIMMIG (2023) and BICKNELL *et al.* (2023) used the example of trilobites to review the terminology related to skeletal pathologies, which is also used in this paper. Adapted to brachiopods, this terminology is as follows (modified after BICKNELL & KIMMIG, 2023: p. 201, and BICKNELL *et al.*, 2023: p. 4):

- **Injury:** Shell breakage through accidental injury or attack complications;
- **Malformation:** Evidence for injuries, teratologies, or pathologies;
- **Pathology:** Malformed shells resulting from parasitic activity or infections;
- **Teratology:** External expressions of developmental, embryological, or genetic malfunctions.

### 4. Description of shell injuries

#### General shell morphology


The studied ventral valves exhibit a convex, rounded, and triangular-elongated outline, with a very shallow median sulcus and overhanging umbo; the ears are very small. The ears bear several rows of small spines spaced about 0.4–0.5 mm apart. Ornamentation on other parts of ventral valves consists of radially arranged, long pustules measuring 0.3–0.4 mm wide, bearing thin, hollow spines 0.3 mm in diameter. Thin rugae present in the lateral part of the umbonal region. Only the best-preserved ventral valves show very delicate growth lines. The internal structure of the ventral valves was not studied due to insufficient quality of material preservation.

#### Shell injuries

Specimen IGS NASU-11/01 (Fig. 5.B, L) is represented by a pyritized valve with an ellipsoidal pit (concavity; see Fig. 4D) located in the median sulcus area of its anterior part. The pit measures 4.5 mm in length, 1.5 mm in width, and approximately 0.9 mm in depth. It extends along the anterior-posterior direction. The transverse profile of the pit is V-shaped with a slightly pointed bottom and steep walls. The pit edges are sharp and uneven.

Specimen IGS NASU-11/04 (Fig. 5.F–G, O) is represented by a pyritized valve with a sub-triangular, almost rounded pit, 5 mm in longitudinal diameter and 4.5 mm in transverse diameter, located on the lateral slope of the umbonal area. The depth of the pit is 1 mm, and its transverse profile is semi-ellipsoidal with gentle walls and a rounded bottom. The edges of the pit are sharp.

Specimen IGS NASU-11/25 (Fig. 5.C, P) is represented by a limestone external mold of the valve. The injury is characterized by a groove on the outer surface of the valve, positioned at an angle of approximately  $130^\circ$  to its plane of symmetry. The groove measures 6 mm in length and 0.7–0.8 mm in width, gradually decreasing from the posterior to the anterior margin of the valve. The depth of the groove is approximately 0.7 mm, also decreasing gradually from the posterior to the anterior margin. The edges of the groove are quite sharp, with some areas slightly raised above the valve surface. The transverse profile of the groove is V-shaped with steep walls and a narrow bottom.



**Figure 4:** Abstract scheme showing measurements of injuries on valves of *Densepustula* sp. A-B - for elongated injuries, C - for isometric or nearly isometric injuries, D - cross-sectional dimensions of an injury. Abbreviations: D = depth,  $D_L$  = longitudinal diamener,  $D_T$  = transverse diameter, L = length, W = width.


Specimen IGS NASU-11/26 (Fig. 5.E, N) is represented by a relatively well-preserved undeformed mold of the valve, bearing a small, barely visible pit in its umbonal part. The pit measures 2 mm in length and 2 mm in width, with a depth of approximately 0.4 mm. Its edges are gradual, and its transverse profile is U-shaped.

Specimen IGS NASU-11/44 (Fig. 5.A, Q) is represented by a sideritized valve. The injury is characterized by an ellipsoidal, almost rounded conical pit, measuring 1.9 mm in transverse diameter and 2.05 mm in longitudinal diameter. The pit is slightly elongated in the anterior-posterior direction, with a depth of approximately 0.8 mm. It is located near the anterior margin of the valve, and the edges of the pit are sharp.

Specimen IGS NASU-11/48 (Fig. 5.D, R) is represented by the external mold of a valve. In the sulcus, near the anterior margin, there is an amoeba-shaped shallow pit with a depth of approximately 0.5 mm. The pit measures approximately 3.5 mm in transverse diameter and 2.8 mm in longitudinal diameter, with an uneven bottom and gentle walls.

Specimen IGS NASU-11/61 (Fig. 5.I-J, M, S) is represented by the limestone external mold of a valve, exhibiting two injuries in the umbonal area:

1) an ellipsoidal pit, measuring 4 mm in length and 2 mm in width, located near the medial part of the valve. The pit extends obliquely relative to the symmetry plane of the valve. It has a conical transverse profile and a depth of about 0.8 mm (Fig. 5.J, S);



**Figure 5:** Injured ventral valves of *Densepustula* sp. from the Pennsylvanian of the Donetsk Basin. A, Q - specimen IGS NASU-11/44 (A - general view of the valve, Q - enlarged part of the valve with the injury; Sukha Ravine-2); B, L - specimen IGS NASU-11/01 (B - general view of the valve, L - enlarged part of the valve with the injury; Lutuhyn's'ka-Pivnichna mine); C, P - specimen IGS NASU-11/25 (C - general view of the valve, P - enlarged part of the valve with the injury; Lozova River); D, R - specimen IGS NASU-11/48 (D - general view of the valve, R - enlarged part of the valve with the injury; Sukha Ravine-1); E, N - specimen IGS NASU-11/26 (E - umbonal view of the valve, N - enlarged part of the valve with the injury; Lozova River); F, G, O - specimen IGS NASU-11/04 (F - ventral view of the valve, G - posterior view of the valve, O - enlarged part of the valve with the injury; Lutuhyn's'ka-Pivnichna mine); H - specimen IGS NASU-11/87 (Sukha Ravine-2); I, J, M, S - specimen IGS NASU-11/61 (I - lateral view of the valve, J - posterior view of the valve, M, S - enlarged parts of the valve with the injury; Paramonova Ravine); K - specimen IGS NASU-11/84 (Vovchans'k fossil site).



2) an ellipsoidal, almost sub-triangular semi-elliptical pit with a semicircular cross-section profile, measuring 2.5 mm long, 0.7 mm in maximum width, and about 0.9 mm deep. This pit, situated on the lateral slope of the umbonal area, is characterized by very gentle slopes and sharp, uneven edges (Fig. 5.I, M).

Specimen IGS NASU-11/84 (Fig. 5.K) is represented by a poorly preserved external mold of a valve. On its surface, there is an injury in the form of a wide, transversely elongated groove measuring 12 mm in length, 2.0-3.5 mm in width, and about 1 mm in depth. The width of the groove gradually decreases from the edge close to the medial part of the valve to its lateral slope. The transverse profile of the groove varies from U-shaped with a slightly concave wide bottom and wide, steep slopes near the sulcus, to V-shaped with a narrow concave bottom and steep slopes. The edges of the groove are quite sharp, especially those facing the posterior margin of the valve. Additionally, approximately 5.5-6.0 mm posterior to this groove, there is an almost rounded pit measuring about 3 mm in transverse diameter and 3.5 mm in longitudinal diameter. The pit is approximately 0.7 mm deep with a U-shaped transverse profile characterized by steep walls and a wide, almost flat bottom.

Specimen IGS NASU-11/87 (Fig. 5.H) is represented by a sandstone mold of the valve. A longitudinal groove approximately 13 mm in length, 1.5-1.9 mm in width, and about 0.7 mm in depth, preserved between the sulcus and the lateral slope of the valve. The groove exhibits steep slopes and a U-shaped transverse profile: its bottom is uneven and narrow, and its edges are rather sharp. The width and depth of the groove gradually increase from the rear to the anterior margin of the valve. Near the anterior margin of the valve, the groove transitions into an almost rounded pit with diameters of about 3.8 and 3.5 mm. The transverse profile of the pit is W-shaped with a slightly raised central part, about 0.4 mm in diameter. The edges of the pit are rather sharp, especially those facing the lateral slope and the posterior part of the valve.

## 5. Discussion

### Palaeoecology and taphonomy

The author personally collected material from three sites (Sukha Ravine-1, Sukha Ravine-2, and Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna mine localities), so taphonomy and palaeoecology can be commented on only in relation to these sites.

*Densepustula* from the Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna coal mine fossil site were discovered within thin interlayers of detrital limestones and large carbonate concretions embedded in black shales. These features developed in nearshore areas of a warm, shallow-marine basin characterized by very low sedimentation rates and locally dysoxic environments (for detailed information, refer to DERNOV, 2023a, 2023b, 2024a). Crinoids and cor-

als are absent in these rocks due to water turbidity hampering the efficiency of crinoid filter-feeding capability and possible photosynthetic symbionts in corals, or due to their inability to live on an unstable substrate. It is likely that irregular storms periodically oxygenated the sediments, oxidizing hydrogen sulfide, and concentrated shelly fauna within local bottom depressions. Consequently, such concentrations, amassed on the seabed surface, formed tempestitic lenses and thin layers of coquina limestone. Palaeogeographic evidence indicate that the Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna mine fossil site was situated within a shallow bay during the formation of the  $k_7$  coal layer roof shale (ZHEMCHUZHNIKOV *et al.*, 1959).

*Densepustula* sp. from the black shales of the Sukha Ravine-2 site existed under similar environmental conditions. However, it should be noted that very rare remains of rugose corals and crinoids, unlike at the Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna mine fossil site, occur here. Remains of *Densepustula* sp. are represented by only a few specimens at the Sukha Ravine-2 fossil site, despite more intensive and long-term collecting compared to the Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna mine fossil site. This may indicate more unfavorable conditions for the existence of articulate brachiopods, the remains of which were collected from the Sukha Ravine-2 locality. Similarly to the Lutuhyn'ska-Pivnichna mine fossil site, a fairly laterally stable tempestitic bed is present here, represented by bioclastic sandy limestone with pebbles, which consists mainly of fragments of bivalve valves and *Coleolus* tubes.

*Densepustula* from argillaceous limestones (Lozova River and Paramonova Ravine) and sandstones (Sukha Ravine-1) occurred in the nearshore zones of the shallow marine basin along with other normal marine biota (*e.g.*, calcareous algae, foraminifers, crinoids).

In the Moscow Syneclise region, monospecific assemblages of *Densepustula russiensis* are present in interlayers of the (?) lagoonal sandy limestone and marlstone within the littoral clay and sandstone of the lower Altjutovo Formation, which represents the basal lithostratigraphic unit of the Vereian (Moscovian) (IVANOVA & KHVOROVA, 1955; IVANOVA, 1958). Occasionally, remains of *Densepustula russiensis* are also discovered in shallow marine sandstones and sandy limestones alongside *Balakhonia latiplana* (IVANOV, 1935), *Orthotetes socialis* FISCHER de WALDHEIM, 1850, bellerophonitid gastropods, bivalves, fishes, and rare echinoderms (IVANOVA, 1958).

A distinctive feature of *Densepustula* shell ornamentation is its thin spines, the precise function of which remains uncertain and has been speculated to serve various purposes. These include anchoring the brachiopod to hard substrates, stabilizing it on soft substrates, distributing mass to prevent sinking into sediments, deterring epibiont settlement, attracting epibionts for camouflage, housing sensory structures, or providing protection against predation (MUIR-WOOD & COOPER, 1960; GRANT, 1966, 1968; RUD-



WICK, 1970; MCGHEE, 1976; BRUNTON, 1982; BRICE, 1986; BRUNTON & MUNDY, 1988; LEIGHTON, 2000; JOHNSEN *et al.*, 2013; PÉREZ-HUERTA, 2013; HALAMSKI, 2023).

In the case of *Densepustula*, these spines likely primarily served to stabilize the shell on the unstable, semi-liquid clayey substrates and to anchor it in relatively dense sandy and calcareous terrigenous substrate under the influence of high-speed flow. This interpretation is supported by the occurrence of *Densepustula* in various types of sedimentary rocks. In mudstones, such as those found at the Sukha Ravine-2, they are often the sole articulate brachiopods present, suggesting that the unstable substrate may have contributed to the reduced taxonomic diversity of brachiopod communities in such environments. However, further research is necessary to fully understand this phenomenon.

The genus *Parajuresania* LAZAREV, 1982, exhibits morphological similarities to *Densepustula* and is typically found in nearshore areas of ancient basins characterized by substrates such as siliciclastic mud, micritic mud, and biogenic sand (DIEVERT *et al.*, 2021: Fig. 2). *Densepustula* shares a similar habitat preference.

### Potential producers of injuries

Shell injuries in the specimens of *Densepustula* sp. under study are represented by longitudinal and sublongitudinal furrows, rounded and ellipsoidal pits, and irregularly shaped dimples. These three morphological types are summarized in Table 2.

The question of why there are no injuries on the dorsal valves of *Densepustula* is not entirely clear. It should be noted that there are 25 dorsal valves in the studied collection. Since 15% of the ventral valves in the studied material bear injuries, it would be natural to expect that about four of the 25 dorsal valves should be injured. However, this is not the case. Perhaps this phenomenon is a taphonomic artifact, in which damaged dorsal valves probably have a lower preservation potential than ventral valves, or it may be due to the influence of the lifetime position of the valves, but it is not yet possible to answer this question unequivocally due to the small amount of material.

The potential agents responsible for injuries of Types A and C include cephalopods (ALEXANDER, 1986a; ELLIOT & BREW, 1988; BRETT, 2003), arthropods (MUNDY, 1982; BRETT, 2003), and fishes (SARYTCHEVA, 1949; BRUNTON, 1966; ALEXANDER, 1981; ELLIOTT & BOUNDS, 1987; BRETT, 2003). These injuries may indicate healed evidence of failed predatory attacks. Numerous coiled nautiloids such as *Gzheloceras*, *Parametacoceras*, *Metacoceras*, *Temnocheilus*, *Peripetoceras*, *Planetoceras*, *Paradomatoceras*, *Coelogasteroceras*, *Ephippioceras*, *Megaglossoceras*, etc., orthocerids, ammonoids, and chondrichthian fishes belonging to

the genera *Symmorium*, *Listracanthus*, *Lagardus*, and *Venustodus* have been found in association with *Densepustula* at fossil sites like Sukha Ravine-1, Sukha Ravine-2, and Lutuhyns'ka-Pivnichna coal mine.

In the Pennsylvanian of the Donets Basin, cephalopods are represented by oncocerids, orthocerids, nautilids, and ammonoids (LIBROVITCH, 1939, 1946; POPOV, 1979; DERNOV, 2018, 2021, 2022a, 2022d, 2023a, 2023b, author's unpublished data). Bactritoids and coleoids have not yet been discovered in this region.

The morphology of the jaw apparatus of Palaeozoic nautiloids is not fully understood due to incomplete and contradictory data (HOLLAND *et al.*, 1978; VOJTÉCH, 1978; EDGEcombe & CHATTERTON, 1987; HOLLAND, 1987; MAPES, 1987). Palaeozoic ammonoids had weakly mineralized and fragile jaw apparatus (MAPES, 1987; DOGUZHAIEVA, 1999; TANABE *et al.*, 2001), which were not suitable for damaging relatively thick-shelled productidine brachiopods. Fossil remains of the ammonoid jaw apparatus found in the mudstones of the Sukha Ravine-2 fossil site (DERNOV, 2022a: Fig. 5) confirm this observation. Additionally, poorly preserved fossils that may represent remains of the jaw apparatus of a coiled nautiloid were discovered inside the body chamber of *Metacoceras* sp. from the Lutuhyns'ka-Pivnichna mine (unpublished author's data), although their interpretation remains ambiguous.

Arthropods are another potential group responsible for predation marks on *Densepustula* (MUNDY, 1982; BRETT, 2003). Trilobite genera such as *Ditomopyge* and *Paladin* are known from the Sukha Ravine-1 and Lutuhyns'ka-Pivnichna mine fossil sites, respectively (MYCHKO & DERNOV, 2019; unpublished author's data). While there are differing views on the feeding habits of trilobites, they are generally considered scavengers, predators, or sediment feeders within their respective taxonomic groups (FORTEY & OWENS, 1999; FORTEY, 2013). However, Carboniferous trilobites from the Donets Basin, which typically did not exceed 3–4 cm in length (WEBER, 1933; MYCHKO & DERNOV, 2019), are unlikely to have caused significant damage to the shells of productidine brachiopods.

Additionally, rare fossils of phyllocarid crustaceans have been found in the black shale of the Sukha Ravine-2. More abundant remains of phyllocarid crustaceans belonging to the genus *Dithyrocaris* have been found in the black shales directly above the sandstones at the Sukha Ravine-1 locality (DERNOV & UDOVYCHENKO, 2019a: Fig. 2.5 and 2.9; DERNOV, 2023d: Fig. 3). Fossil phyllocarids, are known as scavengers/predators, and are documented to feed on small organisms such as mollusks and arthropods, organic particles in sediments (deposit-feeding), or carrion (LIU *et al.*, 2023).



**Table 2:** Shell injuries of *Densepustula* sp.

| Type | Brief description                                                                                                                                              | Sketch | Figures              | Possible origin              | More examples from the Carboniferous                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A    | Longitudinal, sublongitudinal and transversal thin straight or sinuous furrows, about 5–7 mm long and 0.5–1.5 mm thick.                                        |        | Fig. 5.C, H, K       | predatory                    | (1) SARYTCHEVA, 1949, Pl. 1, fig. 3; (2) SARYTCHEVA & SOKOLSKAYA, 1952: Pl. 15, fig. 102; (3) LAPINA, 1957: Pl. 5, fig. 7a; (4) BRUNTON, 1966: Pl. 60, fig. 4; (5) KALASHNIKOV, 1967: Pl. 1, fig. 1; (6) TYULYANDINA, 1975: Pl. 2, figs. 1, 8; (7) KALASHNIKOV, 1980: Pl. 14, fig. 9; (8) ALEXANDER, 1981, Pl. 1, figs. 2, 4; (9) MUNDY, 1982: Pl. 4, figs. 1–2; (10) ELLIOTT & BOUNDS, 1987: Figs. 2.H–I; (11) PÉREZ-HUERTA, 2007: Fig. 5.16; (12) LAZAREV, 2010: Pl. 4, fig. 5; (13) SUN & BALIŃSKI, 2011: Fig. 6D <sub>1</sub> , 6D <sub>2</sub> , 6G; (14) Li <i>et al.</i> , 2012: Fig. 6.23; (15) MOTTEQUIN & WEYER, 2018: Fig. 18.g–h, j.                                                |
| B    | Rounded and ellipsoidal pits, about 3–4 mm in diameter, located on the umbo, anterior margin of the ventral valve and the lateral slopes of the ventral valve. |        | Fig. 5.A–B, E–G, I–J | ? parasitic and/or predatory | (1) SARYTCHEVA, 1949: Pl. 2, fig. 5; (2) SARYTCHEVA & SOKOLSKAYA, 1952: Pl. 21, figs. 145, 148; (3) LAPINA, 1957: Pl. 5, fig. 7a; (4) IVANOVA, 1958: Pl. 16, fig. 2; (5) MONAKHOVA, 1959: Pl. 1, fig. 6b; (6) KALASHNIKOV, 1967: Pl. 4, fig. 1; (7) KALASHNIKOV, 1980: Pl. 10, fig. 14a; (8) MUNDY, 1982: Pl. 4, figs. 3–4, 7–8; (9) CHEN <i>et al.</i> , 2005: Fig. 4.27; (10) PÉREZ-HUERTA, 2007: Figs. 5.29, 7.2; (11) SUN & BALIŃSKI, 2008: Fig. 8.E; (12) KUCHEVA, 2010: Pl. 3, fig. 7; (13) EL-SHAZLY, 2011: Pl. 8, figs. 2, 4; (14) MARTÍNEZ CHACÓN & WINKLER PRINS, 2015: Fig. 6.14; (15) POLETAEV, 2018: Pl. 28, fig. 4; Pl. 98, fig. 3; (16) CARNITI <i>et al.</i> , 2022: Fig. 10.N. |
| C    | Irregularly shaped dimples on the anterior margin and in the sulcus, 2–3 mm in size.                                                                           |        | Fig. 5.D             | predatory                    | (1) SARYTCHEVA, 1949, Pl. 1, fig. 2, Pl. 2, fig. 3; (2) IVANOVA, 1958: Pl. 3, fig. 3; (3) BEZNOVA, 1959: Pl. 5, fig. 1a; (4) BÖGER & FIEBIG, 1963: text-fig. 13; (5) THOMAS, 1971: Pl. 23, fig. 11b; Pl. 24, fig. 10; (6) TYULYANDINA, 1975: Pl. 1, figs. 1, 4, 7; (7) ALEXANDER <i>et al.</i> , 1992: fig. 3D; (8) SUN & BALIŃSKI, 2011: Figs. 6.E <sub>1</sub> , 6.E <sub>2</sub> , 6.H <sub>1</sub> , 6.H <sub>2</sub> ; (9) POLETAEV, 2018: Pl. 129, fig. 6.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |



Among the other marine arthropods likely present in the faunistic communities of the Sukha Ravine-1 site, horseshoe crabs are noteworthy. Their resting traces, identified as the ichnogenus *Crescentichnus* ROMANO & WHYTE, 2015, are frequently found on the bedding planes of the sandstone layer at this locality. In the marine sandstone bed directly beneath the sandstones of the Sukha Ravine-1 site, traces of horseshoe crabs like *Arborichnus* ROMANO & MELÉNDEZ, 1985, and *Selenichnites* ROMANO & WHYTE, 1987, are also common (DERNOV, 2023e).

However, the most likely producers of the shell injuries of *Densepustula* specimens (Fig. 5.C-D, H, K) are holocephalans, specifically species from the genera *Venustodus* or *Lagarodus*. The injuries of Type B observed on *Densepustula* shells (Fig. 5.F-G), similar to injuries on Bashkirian non-marine bivalves *Carbonicola* from the Donets Basin (DERNOV, 2022c, 2023c), suggest parasitic invasions as potential causes. These injuries also resemble small pits found on fossil cephalopod steinkerns, interpreted as impressions of blister pearls, *i.e.*, a natural defensive reaction of the host organism to foreign body like parasites (DE BAETS *et al.*, 2011; BINDER, 2015; LI *et al.*, 2016; KHALILI & VINN, 2023). However, the injury in Fig. 5F-G is located on the outer surface of the valve, which precludes it from being a blister pearl (DE BAETS *et al.*, 2021).

The producers of rounded drill holes in the skeletons of Late Palaeozoic marine invertebrates, which somewhat resemble the injuries of Type B described above, are usually considered to be platyceratid gastropods (LEIGHTON, 2001; KOWALEWSKI *et al.*, 1998; KOWALEWSKI, 2002; KLOMPMAKER *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, the producers of these holes may be mysterious soft-bodied animals (CARRICKER & YOCHELSON, 1968; ROHR, 1976; AUSICH & GURROLA, 1979), but it is impossible to verify this assumption. In the Late Palaeozoic, naticid-like drill holes in shells are known, but they cannot be attributed to naticid producers because no skeletal remains of these organisms are preserved with the drilled ichnotaxa. LEIGHTON (2003) reports that the holes produced by platyceratid and naticid gastropods differ in size: the former are up to 1.6 mm in diameter, and the latter are larger than 1.6 mm.

The injuries of Type B differ from the Palaeozoic cylindrical holes, which penetrate shells completely and are attributed by the vast majority of researchers to be traces of gastropod predation (see above) by several important morphological features: 1) the pits described in this paper are not through holes, but concavities on the surface of the valves (some specimens (Fig. 5A) show surviving damaged areas of the valve, and others (Fig. 5G) show very gradual, rounded edges of the pits, *i.e.*, probably show signs of regeneration); 2) the shape of the described pits and drill holes of predatory gastropods is different: the former are conical and semicircular, and the latter are cylindrical in longitudinal section.

In addition, it should be noted that the injuries described above cannot be attributed to bioerosion trace fossils, as it is highly unlikely that bioeroders could have fixed themselves on the ventral valve, which was densely covered with pustules and faced downwards in lifetime position. This was probably not possible even after the death of the brachiopods, as numerous shells from the Sukha Ravine-1, Sukha Ravine-2, and Lutuhyns'ka-Pivnichna coal mine sites show no traces of either lifetime or postmortem bioerosion, with the exception of the ichnogenus *Cyclopuncta*, which was found exclusively on cephalopod shells (Dernov, 2022a; 2024b).

Comparing the described assemblage of injured shells with other records is difficult due to the limited data on shell damage in specimens of the same species.

Furthermore, the amount of material studied is quite limited and may affect the reliability of quantitative results. However, the data reported on the ratio of injured brachiopod shells to intact shells are close to the values obtained in this study. For example, ALEXANDER (1986b) reports that the number of injured brachiopod shells from the Upper Ordovician of the USA (Indiana, Kentucky, and Ohio border area) varies from c. 0.5% to c. 26.0% for different taxa [median 5.5%, mean 8.0%; own calculations based on data in ALEXANDER (1986b: Table 1)]. A similar number (c. 9.5%; 57 specimens) of injured shells of Late Devonian brachiopods from China is given by ZONG & GONG (2022) for a collection consisting of 603 specimens.

Approximately such a significant variation in the number of injured gastropod shells (4.8–35.7%) of two species, *Trochonemella* sp. and *Lophospira trilineata* (HALL, 1858), is given by EB-BESTAD and STOTT (2008) for material from the Upper Ordovician of Canada. It should be noted, however, that these two species are represented by a disproportionate number of specimens (28 shells of *Trochonemella* sp. and 207 shells of *Lophospira trilineata*). This circumstance probably strongly influenced the variation in the number of injured shells.

However, this ratio can change significantly towards an increase in the proportion of injured shells. For example, ELLIOTT & BOUNDS (1987) recorded damage to 53.7% of shells (503 out of 935) of the brachiopod *Composita subtilita* (HALL, 1852) from the Middle Pennsylvanian-aged Naco Formation of Arizona, USA. It seems to me that this value is somewhat overestimated, since not all brachiopod specimens with evidence of predator attacks figured by ELLIOTT & BOUNDS (1987) may actually be such. Many of them [*e.g.*, ELLIOTT & BOUNDS (1987: Fig. 2D, K)] are probably signs of diagenetic sediment compression.

LEIGHTON (2003: Fig. 5), using data provided by ALEXANDER (1986b), reports that the frequency of sublethally injured shells of Palaeozoic bra-



chiopods ranges from <1.0% to 9.7%. These values are probably the closest to the real ones, as they are based on the results of studying 14 assemblages of Palaeozoic brachiopods.

## 6. Conclusion

Three morphological types of shell injuries of the Late Bashkirian and early Moscovian productidines from the Donets Basin are distinguished. Type A is represented by longitudinal, sublongitudinal, and transverse thin straight or sinuous furrows. Type B consists of rounded and ellipsoidal pits located on the umbo, anterior margin of the ventral valve, and the lateral slopes of the ventral valve. Type C is characterized by irregularly shaped dimples on the anterior margin. The most likely producers of Types A and C are cartilaginous fishes, and these injuries are probably evidence of unsuccessful predation. The origins of Type B are likely to be parasitic and/or predatory.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Mykola I. UDovychenko (Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University, Poltava) and Dr. Vladyslav I. POLETAEV (Institute of Geological Sciences of the NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv) for providing part of the studied material. The author is sincerely grateful to Dr. Adam T. HALAMSKI (Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw), Dr. David A.T. HARPER (Durham University), and Dr. Alessandro Paolo CARNITI (Università degli Studi di Milano), whose comments and suggestions improved the quality of the final version of the manuscript. The research was carried out within the framework of the scientific theme "Late Precambrian and Phanerozoic biota of Ukraine: Biodiversity, revision of systematic composition and phylogeny of leading groups" (No. 0122U001609).

## Bibliographic references

- AISENVERG D.Ye. (1950).- Materials on the brachiopod fauna of the  $C_2^3$  Formation of the Donets Basin. In: Materialy po stratigraphii i paleontologii Donetskogo basseina.- Ugletekhizdat, Moscow-Kharkiv, p. 113-141 [in Russian].
- AISENVERG D.Ye. (1951).- Carboniferous brachiopods of the Vovcha River area.- *Trudy Instituta geologicheskikh nauk. Seriya stratigrafii i paleontologii*, Kyiv, p. 5-72 [in Russian].
- AISENVERG D.Ye. (1983).- Chonetidae and Productidae. In: AISENVERG D.Ye., ASTAKHOVA T.V., BERCHENKO O.I., BRAZHNKOVA N.E., VDOVENKO M.V., DUNAEVA N.N., ZERNETSKAYA N.V., POLETAEV V.I. & SERGEEVA M.T. (eds.), Upper Serpukhovian Substage in the Donets Basin.- Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, p. 73-95 [in Russian].
- AISENVERG D.Ye., BELENKO N.G., DEDOV V.S., LEVENSHEIN M.L., MAKAROV I.A., NESTERENKO L.P., POLETAEV V.I., POPOV V.S., SOKOLOVA G.U., FIS-SUNENKO O.P. & SHCHEGOLEV A.K. (1975).- Stratigraphic excursion. In: AISENVERG D.Ye., LAGUTINA V.V., LEVENSHEIN M.L. & POPOV V.S. (eds.), Field excursion guidebook for the Donets Basin.- Nauka, Moscow, p. 201-245 [in Russian].
- AISENVERG D.Ye., BRAZHNKOVA N.E., NOVIK E.O., ROTAI A.P. & SHULGA P.L. (1963).- Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Donets Basin.- Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR, Kyiv, 182 p. [in Russian].
- ALEXANDER R.R. (1981).- Predation scars preserved in Chesterian brachiopods: Probable culprits and evolutionary consequences for the articulates.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 55, no. 1, p. 192-203.
- ALEXANDER R.R. (1986a).- Frequency of sublethal shell-breakage in articulate brachiopod assemblages through geologic time. In: RACHEBOEUF P.R. & EMIG C.C. (eds.), Les Brachiopodes fossiles et actuels. Actes du 1er Congrès international sur les Brachiopodes.- Biostratigraphie du Paléozoïque, vol. 4, p. 159-166.
- ALEXANDER R.R. (1986b).- Resistance to and repair of shell breakage induced by durophages in Late Ordovician brachiopods.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 60, no. 2, p. 273-285.
- AUSICH W.I. & GURROLA R.A. (1979).- Two borings organisms in a Lower Mississippian community of southern Indiana.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 53, no. 2, p. 335-344.
- BEZNOSOVA G.A. (1959).- Lower Carboniferous brachiopods of the Kuznetsk Basin (families Cyrtospiriferidae and Spiriferidae).- Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 136 p. [in Russian].
- BICKNELL R.D.C. & KIMMIG J. (2023).- Clustered and injured *Pseudogygites latimarginatus* from the Late Ordovician Lindsay Formation, Canada.- *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie - Abhandlungen Band*, Frankfurt am Main, vol. 309, no. 3, p. 199-208.
- BICKNELL R.D.C., SMITH P.M. & PATERSON J.R. (2023).- Malformed trilobites from the Cambrian, Ordovician, and Silurian of Australia.- *PeerJ*, San Diego, vol. 11, article e16634, 20 p. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16634
- BINDER H. (2015).- Fossil pearls and blisters in molluscan shells from the Neogene of Austria.- *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien*, vol. 117, p. 63-93.
- BÖGER H. & FIEBIG H. (1963).- Die Fauna des Westdeutschen Oberkarbons II. Die articulaten Brachiopoden des Westdeutschen Oberkarbons.- *Palaeontographica*, Frankfurt am Main, vol. 122, no. 4-6, p. 111-165.
- BRETT C.E. (2003).- Durophagous predation in Palaeozoic marine benthic assemblages. In: KELLEY P.H., KOWALEWSKI M. & HANSEN T.A. (eds.), Predator-prey interactions in the fossil record.- Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York - NY, p. 401-432.
- BRICE D. (1986).- Place et morphologie des Brachiopodes dans des assemblages benthiques du Givétien et du Frasnien de Ferques (Boulonnais, Nord de la France) : Essai d'interprétation paléocéologique. In: RACHEBOEUF P.R. &



- EMIG C.C. (eds.), Les Brachiopodes fossiles et actuels. Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> International Congress on Brachiopods.- Balkema, Rotterdam, p. 197-208
- BRUNTON H. (1966).- Predation and shell damage in a Viséan brachiopod fauna.- *Palaeontology*, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 355-359.
- BRUNTON C.H.C. (1982).- The functional morphology and paleoecology of the Dinantian brachiopod *Levitusia*.- *Lethaia*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 149-167.
- BRUNTON C.H.C. & MUNDY D.J.C. (1988).- Strophalosiacean and aulostegacean productoids from the Craven Reef Belt of North Yorkshire.- *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, Nottingham, vol. 47, no. 1, p. 55-88.
- CARRIKER M.R. & YOCHELSON E.L. (1968).- Recent gastropod boreholes and Ordovician cylindrical borings.- *United States Geological Survey Professional Paper*, vol. 593-B, 26 p. DOI: 10.3133/pp593B
- CHEN Z., TAZAWA J., SHI G.R. & MATSUDA N.S. (2005).- Uppermost Mississippian brachiopods from the basal Itaituba Formation of the Amazon Basin, Brazil.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 79, no. 5, p. 907-926.
- DAVYDOV V.I. (2009).- Bashkirian-Moscovian transition in Donets Basin: The key for Tethyan-Boreal correlation. Type Carboniferous sections of Russia and potential global stratotypes. South Urals excursion.- *Proceedings of the International Field Meeting (Ufa-Sibai, August 13-18, 2009)*, Ufa, p. 188-192.
- DAVYDOV V.I., CROWLEY J.L., SCHMITZ M.D. & POLETAEV V.I. (2010).- High-precision U-Pb zircon age calibration of the Global Carboniferous Time Scale and MILANKOVITCH band cyclicity in the Donets Basin, Eastern Ukraine.- *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 1-22. DOI: 10.1029/2009gc002736
- DE BAETS K., KLUG C. & KORN D. (2011).- Devonian pearls and ammonoid endoparasite coevolution.- *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, Warszawa, vol. 56, no. 1, p. 159-180. DOI: 10.4202/app.2010.0044
- DE BAETS K., HOFFMANN R. & MIRONENKO A. (2021).- Evolutionary history of cephalopod pathologies linked with parasitism. In: DE BAETS K. & HUNTLEY J.W. (eds.), The evolution and fossil record of parasitism.- *Topics in Geobiology*, vol. 50, p. 203-249.
- DELÉPINE G. (1937).- Goniatites et Nautiloides du Niveau du Petit-Buisson à Heerlen (Hollande).- *Société Géologique du Nord*, Lille, t. 62, p. 36-55.
- DEMANET F. (1938).- La faune des Couches passagère du Dinantien au Namurien dans le synclinorium de Dinant.- *Musée Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique, Mémoires*, Bruxelles, t. 84, p. 1-201.
- DERNOV V.S. (2018).- Cephalopods from the Middle Carboniferous of the Donets Basin (Luhansk region, Eastern Ukraine).- *Geo&Bio*, Kyiv, vol. 16, p. 3-14. DOI: 10.15407/gb.2018.16.003
- DERNOV V.S. (2021).- Three new species of nautilids (cephalopods) from the Carboniferous of the Donets Basin (Eastern Ukraine).- *Geological Journal (Ukraine)*, Kyiv, no. 375, p. 58-66. DOI: 10.30836/igs.1025-6814.2021.2.227012
- DERNOV V. (2022a).- Late Bashkirian ammonoids from the Mospyne Formation of the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Fossil Imprint*, Prague, vol. 78, no. 2, p. 489-512. DOI: 10.37520/fi.2022.021
- DERNOV V. (2022b).- *Coleolus carbonarius* DEMANET, 1938 (*incertae sedis*) from the late Bashkirian (Carboniferous) of the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Geo&Bio*, Kyiv, vol. 22, p. 79-93. DOI: 10.15407/gb2207
- DERNOV V.S. (2022c).- Shell injuries of the Carboniferous non-marine bivalves from the Donets Basin.- *Paleontological Review*, Lviv, no. 54, p. 56-64 [in Ukrainian with English summary].
- DERNOV V. (2022d).- New species of coiled nautiloids from the Pennsylvanian of the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Paleontological Review*, Lviv, no. 54, p. 65-75.
- DERNOV V. (2022e).- Non-marine bivalves from the Mospyne Formation (late Bashkirian) of the Donets Basin: Taxonomy, paleoecology, and stratigraphic significance.- *Geological Journal (Ukraine)*, Kyiv, no. 380, p. 34-56. DOI: 10.30836/igs.1025-6814.2022.3.255491 [in Ukrainian with English summary].
- DERNOV V. (2023a).- The early Moscovian ammonoid species *Wiedeyoceras clarum* POPOV, 1979 in the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Historical Biology*, vol. 35, no. 7, p. 1261-1265.
- DERNOV V. (2023b).- First evidence of color patterns on conchs of the lower Moscovian (Middle Pennsylvanian) coiled nautiloids from the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, Milano, vol. 129, no. 2, p. 329-342. DOI: 10.54103/2039-4942/19439
- DERNOV V.S. (2023c).- A new record of the shell-injured nonmarine bivalve from the Carboniferous of the Donets Basin. In: *Proceedings of the scientific conference "Fakultet pryrodnych nauk: Dni nauky - 2023"*.- Myrhorod, Luhansk University Press, p. 114-116 [in Ukrainian].
- DERNOV V. (2023d).- *Hankoichnus* ichnogen. nov., a new arthropod (?) trace fossil from the Carboniferous of the Donets Basin (Ukraine).- *Geological Journal (Ukraine)*, Kyiv, no. 382, p. 53-58. DOI: 10.30836/igs.1025-6814.2023.1.265486
- DERNOV V. (2023e).- Horseshoe crab trace fossils *Arborichnus* ROMANO et MELÉNDEZ, 1985 from the Bashkirian (Carboniferous) of the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Fossil Imprint*, Prague, vol. 79, no. 1, p. 9-25. DOI: 10.37520/fi.2023.002



- DERNOV V. (2024a).- Lingulid brachiopods with probably preserved original shell colouration from the Kamensk Formation (Moscovian, Middle Pennsylvanian) of the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Visnyk of V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, series "Geology. Geography. Ecology"*, no. 60, p. 28-39. DOI: 10.26565/2410-7360-2024-60-02
- DERNOV V. (2024b).- A low-diverse Visean (Mississippian) cephalopod assemblage from the Dni-pro-Donets Depression (Ukraine).- *Revista Brasileira de Paleontologia*, Imbé, vol. 27, no. 2, article e20240433. 23 p. DOI: 10.4072/rbp.2024.2.0433
- DERNOV V. & POLETAEV V. (2024).- New geological and palaeontological data of the Dyakove Group (Carboniferous) and age-related rock formations of the central Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Geological Journal (Ukraine)*, Kyiv, no. 386, p. 3-21. DOI: 10.30836/igs.1025-6814.2024.1.285644
- DERNOV V. & UDovyCHENKO M. (2019a).- New Bashkirian (Lower Pennsylvanian) fossil sites in the Donets Basin.- *Collection of the Scientific Works of the IGS NASU*, Kyiv, vol. 12, p. 40-47 [in Russian with English summary]. DOI: 10.30836/igs.2522-9753.2019.185717
- DERNOV V.S. & UDovyCHENKO N.I. (2019b).- On the palaeobotanical characteristic of the Mospino Formation.- *Visnyk of V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Geology, Geography, Ecology*, vol. 51, p. 67-82 [in Russian with English summary].
- DIEVERT R.V., GINGRAS M.K. & LEIGHTON L.R. (2021).- The functional performance of productidine brachiopods in relation to environmental variables.- *Lethaia*, vol. 54, p. 806-822.
- DOGUZHAeva L.A. (1999).- Beaks of the Late Carboniferous ammonoids from the Southern Urals. In: ROZANOV A.Yu. & SHEVYREV A.A. (eds.), Fossil cephalopods: Recent advances in their studies.- Paleontological Institute, Moscow, p. 68-87 [in Russian].
- DUNAeva N.M. (1969).- Open Donets Basin. In: BONDARCHUK V.G. (ed.), Stratigraphy of the Ukrainian SSR. Volume V, Carboniferous.- Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, p. 21-48 [in Ukrainian].
- EBBESTAD J.O.R. & HÖGSTRÖM A.E.S. (2000).- Shell repair following failed predation in two Upper Ordovician brachiopods from central Sweden.- *GFF*, vol. 122, no. 3, p. 307-312.
- EBBESTAD J.O.R. & Stott C.A. (2008).- Failed predation in Late Ordovician gastropods (Mollusca) from Manitoulin Island, Ontario, Canada.- *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, Ottawa, vol. 45, no. 2, p. 231-241.
- EDGEcombe G.D. & CHATTERTON B.D.E. (1987).- An operculum of the Silurian nautiloids *Aptychopsis* from Arctic Canada.- *Lethaia*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 63-65.
- ELLIOTT D.K. & BOUNDS S.D. (1987).- Causes of damage to brachiopods from the Middle Pennsylvanian Naco Formation, central Arizona.- *Lethaia*, vol. 20, no. 4, p. 327-335.
- ELLIOTT D.K. & BREW D.C. (1988).- Cephalopod predation on a Desmoinesian brachiopod from the Naco Formation, Central Arizona.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 62, no. 1, p. 145-147.
- EL-SHAZLY S.H. (2011).- Late Carboniferous macrofauna from Wadi Araba, Eastern Desert, Egypt, and their paleoecological implications.- *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, vol. 61, no. 5, p. 369-394.
- EROS J.M., MONTAÑEZ I.P., OSLEGER D.A., NEMYROVSKA T.I., POLETAEV V.I., DAVYDOV V.I. & ZHYKALYAK M.V. (2012).- Sequence stratigraphy and onlap history of the Donets Basin, Ukraine: Insight into Carboniferous icehouse dynamics.- *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, vol. 313-314, p. 1-25.
- FEOFILOVA A.P. & LEVENShteIN M.L. (1963).- Features of the sedimentation and coal accumulation in the Early and Middle Carboniferous of the Donets Basin.- Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 180 p. [in Russian].
- FISCHER de WALDHEIM G. (1850).- *Orthotetes*, genre de la famille des brachiopodes.- *Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou Bulletin*, t. 23, p. 491-494.
- FISSUNENKO O.P. (1991).- Zonal phytostratigraphic scheme of the Lower and Middle Carboniferous of the Donets Basin.- *Geological Journal (Ukraine)*, Kyiv, no. 3, p. 55-64 [in Russian].
- FORTEY R. (2014).- The palaeoecology of trilobites.- *Journal of Zoology*, vol. 292, no. 4, p. 250-259.
- FORTEY R. & OWENS M. (1999).- Feeding habits in trilobites.- *Palaeontology*, vol. 42, no. 3, p. 429-465.
- GIRTY G.H. (1911).- Fauna of the Moorefield shale of Arkansas.- *United States Geological Survey Bulletin*, Washington - DC, vol. 439, 148 p. DOI: 10.3133/b439
- GRANT R.E. (1966).- Spine arrangement and life habits of the productoid brachiopod *Waagenoconcha*.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 40, no. 5, p. 1063-1069.
- GRANT R.E. (1968).- Structural adaptations in two Permian brachiopod genera, Salt Range, West Pakistan.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 42, no. 1, p. 1-32.
- HALAMSKI A.T. (2023).- De la récolte des fossiles à la reconstruction des écosystèmes fossiles - Les prairies à brachiopodes du Dévonien des monts Sainte-Croix.- *Bulletin de la Société linnéenne de Lyon*, vol. 92, no. 9-10, p. 271-280.
- HALL J. (1852).- Palaeontology of New York.- Printed by C. Van Benthuysen, Albany - NY, vol. II, p. 144-173. DOI: 10.5962/bhl.title.66970



- HALL J. (1858).- Report on the Geological Survey of the State of Iowa. Pt. 2. Palaeontology.- Albany - NY, p. 473-724. DOI: 10.5962/bhl.title.31802
- HINSBERGEN D.J.J. van, ABELS H.A., BOSCH W., BOEKHOUT F., KITCHKA A., HAMERS M., MEER D.G. van der, GELUK M. & STEPHENSON R.A. (2015).- Sedimentary geology of the middle Carboniferous of the Donbas region (Dniepr-Donets basin, Ukraine).- *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, article 9099, 8 p. DOI: 10.1038/srep09099
- HOFFMANN R., LEMANIS R.E., WULFF L., ZACHOW S., LUKENEDER A., KLUG C. & KEUPP H. (2011).- Traumatic events in the life of the deep-sea cephalopod mollusc, the coleoid *Spirula spirula*.- *Deep-Sea Research Part I*, no. 142, p. 127-144.
- HOLLAND C.H. (1987).- Aptychopsid plates (nautiloid opercula) from the Irish Silurian.- *The Irish Naturalists Journal*, Dublin, vol. 22, no. 8, p. 347-351.
- HOLLAND C.H., STRIDSBERG S. & BERGSTRÖM J. (1978).- Confirmation of the reconstruction of *Aptychopsis*.- *Lethaia Seminar*, vol. 11, p. 144.
- IVANOV A.P. (1935).- Brachiopods of the Middle and Upper Carboniferous of the Moscow Basin. Part 1. Productidae Gray.- *Trudy Moscovskogo Geologicheskogo Tresta*, Moscow, no. 8, p. 1-163 [in Russian].
- IVANOVA E.A. (1958).- Development of fauna in relation to living conditions. Part 3. Development of fauna of the Middle and Upper Carboniferous sea of the western part of the Moscow Syncline in connection with its history.- Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 369 p. [in Russian].
- IVANOVA E.A. & KHVOROVA I.V. (1955).- Development of fauna in relation to living conditions. Part 1. Stratigraphy of the Middle and Upper Carboniferous of the western part of the Moscow Syncline.- Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 287 p. [in Russian].
- IZART A., BRIAND C., VASLET D., VACHARD D., COQUEL R. & MASLO A. (1996).- Stratigraphy and sequence stratigraphy of the Moscovian in the Donets Basin.- *Tectonophysics*, vol. 268, p. 189-209. DOI: 10.1016/s0040-1951(96)00224-7
- JOHNSON S.A.L., AHMED M. & LEIGHTON L.R. (2013).- The effect of spines of a Devonian productide brachiopod on durophagous predation.- *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, vol. 375, p. 30-37.
- KALASHNIKOV V.A. (1967).- Ecology of fauna and bionomic zoning of the Carboniferous sea of the northern Urals.- Nauka, Leningrad, 56 p. [in Russian].
- KALASHNIKOV V.A. (1980).- Upper Palaeozoic brachiopods of the northern part of the European USSR.- Nauka, Leningrad, 134 p. [in Russian].
- KHALILI R. & VINN O. (2023).- First record of blister pearls in the oyster *Hyotissa hyotis* (LINNÉ, 1758) from Pliocene deposits at Sidi Brahim, Lower Chelif Basin (north-west Algeria).- *Geologos*, Poznań, vol. 29, no. 3, p. 167-172. URL: <https://sciendo.com/es/article/10.14746/geologos.2023.29.3.16>
- KHODJANYAZOVA R.R., DAVYDOV V.I., MONTANEZ I.P. & SCHMITZ M.D. (2014).- Climate- and eustasy-driven cyclicity in Pennsylvanian fusulinid assemblages, Donets Basin (Ukraine).- *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, vol. 396, p. 41-61.
- KLOMPMAKER A.A., NÜTZEL A., & KAIM A. (2016).- Drill hole convergence and a quantitative analysis of drill holes in mollusks and brachiopods from the Triassic of Italy and Poland.- *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, vol. 457, p. 342-359.
- KOWALEWSKI M. (2002).- The fossil record of predation: An overview of analytical methods. In: KOWALEWSKI M. & KELLEY P.H. (eds.): The fossil record of predation.- *Paleontological Society Special Papers*, vol. 8, p. 3-42.
- KOWALEWSKI M., DULAI A., & FÜRSICH F.T. (1998).- A fossil record full of holes: The Phanerozoic history of drilling predation.- *Geology*, McLean - VA, vol. 26, p. 1091-1094.
- KUCHEVA N.A. (2010).- Changes in the composition of brachiopod assemblages on the Lower/ Middle Carboniferous boundary on the example of the Brazha section (western slope of the Middle Urals).- *Yezhegodnik-2009. Trudy Instituta geologii i geokhimii imeni A.N. Zavaritskogo Ural'skogo otdeleniya RAN*, Yekaterinburg, no. 157, p. 30-37 [in Russian with English summary].
- LAPINA N.N. (1957).- Carboniferous brachiopods of the Perm Pre-Urals.- *Trudy Vsesoyuznogo Nauchno-Issledovatel'skogo Instituta*, Leningrad, vol. 108, p. 1-132 [in Russian].
- LAZAREV S.S. (1982).- On some brachiopods of the family Buxtoniidae.- *Paleontological Journal*, Moscow, no. 1, p. 65-72 [in Russian].
- LAZAREV S.S. (1990).- Evolution and system of productids.- Nauka, Moscow, 173 p. [in Russian].
- LAZAREV S.S. (2001).- Brachiopods. In: MAKHLINA M.Kh., ALEKSEEV A.S., GOREVA N.V., GORYNOVA R.V., ISAKOVA T.N., KOSSOVAYA O.L., LAZAREV S.S., LEBEDEV O.A. & SHKOLIN A.A. (eds.), Middle Carboniferous of Moscow Syncline (southern part). Volume 2. Biostratigraphy.- Nauchny Mir, Moscow, p. 55-65 [in Russian].
- LAZAREV S.S. (2010).- Evolution and systematics of the Late Paleozoic brachiopod family Lino-productidae with descriptions of species from the Lower Permian of the Northern Timan.- *Paleontological Journal*, Berlin, vol. 44, no. 2, p. 140-150.
- LEIGHTON L.R. (2000).- Environmental distribution of spinose brachiopods from the Devonian of New York: Test of the soft-substrate hypothesis.- *Palaaios*, Lawrence - KS, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 184-193.
- LEIGHTON L.R. (2001).- New example of Devonian predatory boreholes and the influence of brachiopod spines on predator success.- *Palaeo-*



- geography, *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, vol. 165, no. 1-2, p. 53-69.
- LEIGHTON L.R. (2003).- Predation on brachiopods.- In: KELLEY P.H., KOWALEWSKI M. & HANSEN T.A. (eds.): *Predator-prey interaction in the fossil record*.- Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, p. 215-237.
- LI S.-P., YAO P.-Y., LI J.-F., FERGUSON D.K., MIN L.-R., CHI Z.-Q., WANG Y., YAO J.-X. & SHA J.-G. (2016).- Freshwater fossil pearls from the Nihewan Basin, early Early Pleistocene.- *PLoS ONE*, San Francisco - CA, vol. 11, article e0164083, 13 p.
- LI W., SHI G.R., YARINPIL A., HE W. & SHEN S. (2012).- *Cancrinella* and *Costatumulus* (Brachiopoda) from the Permian of South Mongolia and South China: Their morphology, biostratigraphy and distribution.- *Geobios*, Villeurbanne, vol. 45, no. 3, p. 297-309.
- LIBROVITCH L.S. (1939).- Cephalopods. In: GORSKIY I.I. (ed.), *Atlas of indexes fossils of the USSR*. Volume V. Middle and Upper Carboniferous.- GONTI, Leningrad and Moscow, p. 130-141 [in Russian].
- LIBROVITCH L.S. (1946).- A new scheme of subdivision and correlation of the Carboniferous of the Donets Basin (based on the distribution of cephalopod faunas).- *Materialy Vsesoyuznogo Nauchno-Issledovatel'skogo Geologicheskogo Instituta*, Leningrad, vol. 7, p. 77-90 [in Russian].
- LIKHAREV B. (1938).- Materials on the study of the Upper Carboniferous brachiopods of the Donets Basin.- *Geological Journal (Ukraine)*, Kyiv, no. 5, p. 73-129 [in Ukrainian].
- LINDSTRÖM A. (2003).- Shell breakage in two pleurotomarioid gastropods from the Upper Carboniferous of Texas, and its relation to shell morphology.- *GFF*, vol. 125, no. 1, p. 39-46.
- LIU Y., POSCHMANN M.J., FAN R., ZONG R. & GONG Y. (2023).- Silurian phyllocarid crustaceans (Phyllocarida, Archaeostraca) from South China.- *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, vol. 21, no. 1, article 2187718, 20 p.
- MAPES R.H. (1987).- Upper Paleozoic cephalopod mandibles: Frequency of occurrence, modes of preservation, and paleoecological implications.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 61, no. 3, p. 521-538.
- MARTÍNEZ CHACÓN M.L. & WINKLER PRINS C.F. (2015).- Late Bashkirian-early Moscovian (Pennsylvanian) Productidae (Brachiopoda) from the Cantabrian Mountains (NW Spain).- *Geobios*, Villeurbanne, vol. 48, no. 6, p. 459-477.
- MCGHEE G.R. (1976).- Late Devonian benthic marine communities of the central Appalachian Allegheny Front.- *Lethaia*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 111-136.
- MONAKHOVA L.P. (1959).- Visean brachiopods of the lower part of the coal-bearing sequence of the central Kazakhstan.- *Trudy laboratorii geologii uglya*, Leningrad, vol. 9, p. 68-152 [in Russian].
- MOTTEQUIN B. & WEYER D. (2019).- On some Mississippian (Carboniferous) brachiopods from neptunian dykes of the Harz Mountains (central Germany).- *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments*, Berlin, vol. 99, p. 447-475.
- MUIR-WOOD H.M. & COOPER G.A. (1960).- Morphology, classification and life habitats of the Productoidea (Brachiopoda).- *Geological Society of America Memoir*, Boulder - CO, vol. 81, 447 p.
- MUNDY D.J.C. (1982).- A note on the predation of brachiopods from the Dinantian reef limestones of Cracoe, North Yorkshire.- *Leeds Geological Associations, Transactions*, vol. 9, no. 6, p. 73-83.
- MYCHKO E.V. & DERNOV V.S. (2019).- New records of the Middle Carboniferous trilobites from the Donets Basin.- *Byulleten' Moskovskogo obshchestva ispytateley prirody. Otdel geologicheskii*, Moscow, no. 94, p. 52-63 [in Russian].
- NEMYROVSKA T.I. (1999).- Bashkirian conodonts of the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Scripta Geologica*, Leiden, vol. 119, p. 1-116.
- NEMYROVSKA T.I. (2017).- Late Mississippian-Middle Pennsylvanian conodont zonation of Ukraine.- *Stratigraphy*, Flushing - NY, vol. 14, no. 1-4, p. 299-318.
- NEMYROVSKA T.I. & HU K. (2018).- Conodont association of the Bashkirian-Moscovian boundary interval of the Donets Basin, Ukraine.- *Spanish Journal of Palaeontology*, Madrid, vol. 33, no. 1, p. 105-128.
- NEMYROVSKA T.I., SHCHEGOLEV O.K. & BOYARINA N.I. (with the participation of POLETAEV V., BONDAR O., EMELIANOV Yu. & YEFIMENKO V.) (2013).- Stratigraphic scheme of the Middle and Upper Carboniferous deposits of the Don-Dnipro Downwarp. In: GOZHYK P.F. (ed.), *Stratigraphy of the Upper Proterozoic and Phanerozoic of Ukraine*. Volume 1. Stratigraphy of the Upper Proterozoic, Palaeozoic and Mesozoic.- LAT & K, Kyiv, scheme 7.2 [in Ukrainian].
- NEMYROVSKA T.I. & YEFIMENKO V.I. (2013).- Middle Carboniferous (Lower Pennsylvanian). In: GOZHYK P.F. (ed.), *Stratigraphy of the Upper Proterozoic and Phanerozoic of Ukraine*. Volume 1, Stratigraphy of the Upper Proterozoic, Palaeozoic and Mesozoic.- LAT & K, Kyiv, p. 283-303 [in Ukrainian].
- NOVIK E.O. (1952).- Carboniferous flora of the European part of the USSR.- Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 468 p. [in Russian].
- NOVIK E.O. (1974).- Development of the Carboniferous flora of the south part of the European part of the USSR.- *Naukova Dumka*, Kyiv, 139 p. [in Russian].
- PÉREZ-HUERTA A. (2007).- First record of post-middle Desmoinesian (Late Carboniferous) brachiopods in the Great Basin (USA): Implications for faunal migration in response to Late Paleozoic paleogeography.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 81, no. 2, p. 312-330.



- PÉREZ-HUERTA A. (2013).- Functional morphology and modifications on spine growth in the productid brachiopod *Heteralosia slocomi*.- *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, Warsaw, vol. 58, no. 2, p. 383-390.
- PATTEISKY K. (1964).- Über die Nomenklatur von *Agastrioceras subcrenatum*, *Agastrioceras langenbrahmi* und *Gastrioceras carbonarium*. In: *Compte Rendu, Tome II, Cinquième Congrès International de Stratigraphie et de Géologie du Carbonifère* (Paris, 9-12 septembre 1963).- *Charbonnages de France*, Paris, p. 647-654.
- PEEL J.S. (1984).- Attempted predation and shell repair in *Euomphalopterus* (Gastropoda) from the Silurian of Gotland.- *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark*, Copenhagen, vol. 32, p. 163-168.
- PHILLIPS J. (1836).- Illustrations of the geology of Yorkshire, or a description of the strata and organic remains. Pt. 11. The Mountain Limestone district.- Printed for John Murray, London, 259 p. (+25 Pls).
- POLETAEV V.I. (2018).- Atlas of Carboniferous spiriferides of Eastern Europe.- IGS of the NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, 394 p. [in Russian].
- POLETAEV V.I., VDOVENKO M.V., SHCHEGOLEV O.K., BOYARINA N.I. & MAKAROV I.A. (2011).- Stratotypes of the Carboniferous and Lower Permian regional stratigraphic units of the Don-Dnipro Downwarp.- *Logos*, Kyiv, 234 p. [in Ukrainian].
- POPOV A.V. (1979).- Carboniferous ammonoids of the Donets Basin and their stratigraphic significance.- *Nedra*, Leningrad, 106 p. [in Russian].
- ROMANO M. & MELENDEZ B. (1985).- An arthropod (merostome) ichnocoenosis from the Carboniferous of northwest Spain.- *Compte-Rendu du Neuvième Congrès International de Stratigraphie et de Géologie du Carbonifère*, vol. 5, p. 317-325.
- ROMANO M. & WHYTE M.A. (1987).- A limulid trace fossil from the Scarborough Formation (Jurassic) of Yorkshire; its occurrence, taxonomy and interpretation.- *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, Nottingham, vol. 46, p. 85-95.
- ROHR M. & WHYTE M.A. (2015).- A review of the trace fossil *Selenichnites*.- *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, Nottingham, vol. 60, p. 275-288.
- ROHR D.M. (1976).- Silurian predator borings in the brachiopod *Dicaelusia* from the Canadian Arctic.- *Journal of Paleontology*, McLean - VA, vol. 50, no. 6, p. 1175-1179.
- ROTAI A.P. (1931).- Brachiopods and stratigraphy of the Lower Carboniferous of the Donets Basin.- *Trudy Glavnogo Geologo-Razvedovatel'nogo Upravleniya*, Moscow, vol. 73, p. 35-144 [in Russian].
- ROTAI A.P. (1951).- Middle Carboniferous brachiopods of the Donets Basin. Part 1. Spiriferidae.- *Gosgeolizdat*, Leningrad and Moscow, 127 p. [in Russian].
- ROTAI A.P. (1952).- Middle Carboniferous brachiopods of the Donets Basin. Part 2. Genus *Marginitifera*.- *Gosgeolizdat*, Moscow, 64 p. [in Russian].
- ROTAI A.P. (1980).- New early Carboniferous productidines of the Donets Basin. In: STUKALINA G.A. (ed.), *New species of fossil plants and invertebrates of the USSR*.- *Nauka*, Moscow, p. 61-66 [in Russian].
- RUDWICK M.J.S. (1970).- *Living and fossil brachiopods*.- *Hutchinson*, London, 200 p.
- SACHSENHOFER R.F., PRIVALOV V.A. & PANOVA E.A. (2012).- Basin evolution and coal geology of the Donets Basin (Ukraine, Russia): An overview.- *International Journal of Coal Geology*, vol. 89, p. 26-40. DOI: 10.1016/j.coal.2011.05.002
- SARYTCHEVA T.G. (1949).- On the shell injuries of the shells of Carboniferous productidines. In: SARYTCHEVA T.G. (ed.), *In memory of academician A.A. BORISSIAK*.- *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta*, Moscow, vol. 20, p. 280-292 [in Russian].
- SARYTCHEVA T.G. & SOKOLSKAYA A.N. (1952).- Atlas of Palaeozoic brachiopods of the Moscow Basin.- *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta*, Moscow, vol. 38, p. 1-307 [in Russian].
- SEMICHATOVA S.V. (1941).- Bashkirian brachiopods of the USSR. 1. Genus *Choristites* FISCHER.- *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta*, Moscow, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 1-152 [in Russian].
- SERGEeva M.T. (1984).- On the evolution of Carboniferous non-marine bivalves of the USSR. In: VYALOV O.S. (ed.), *Phanerozoic palaeontology and stratigraphy of Ukraine*.- *Naukova Dumka*, Kyiv, p. 49-53 [in Russian].
- SOWERBY J. (1812).- *Mineral conchology of Great Britain*. Volume 1.- Printed by Benjamin Meredith, London, 234 p.
- SUN Y. & BALIŃSKI A. (2008).- Silicified Mississippian brachiopods from Muhua, southern China: Lingulids, craniids, strophomenids, productids, orthotetids, and orthids.- *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, Warsaw, vol. 53, no. 3, p. 485-524.
- SUN Y. & BALIŃSKI A. (2011).- Silicified Mississippian brachiopods from Muhua, southern China: Rhynchonellids, athyrids, spiriferids, spiriferinids, and terebratulids.- *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, Warsaw, vol. 56, no. 4, p. 793-842.
- TANABE K., MAPES R.H. & KIDDER D.L. (2001).- A phosphatized cephalopod mouthpart from the Upper Pennsylvanian of Oklahoma, U.S.A.- *Paleontological Research*, Tokyo, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 311-318.
- THOMAS G.A. (1971).- Carboniferous and early Permian brachiopods from western and northern Australia.- *Department of National Development Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics Bulletin*, Canberra, vol. 56, p. 1-215.



- TRUEMAN A.E. & WEIR J. (1948).- A monograph of British Carboniferous non-marine Lamellibranchia. Part IV.- *Monographs of the Palaeontographical Society*, London, vol. 102, p. 75-100.
- TYULYANDINA Z.A. (1975).- Namurian and Bashkirian (Carboniferous) brachiopods of the southern part of the Fergana Depression. In: SIXTEL T.A. (ed.), Upper Palaeozoic biostratigraphy of the mountainous frame of the southern Fergana Depression.- FAN, Tashkent, p. 38-53 [in Russian].
- HINSBERGEN D.J.J. van, ABELS H.A., BOSCH W., BOEKHOUT F., KITCHKA A., HAMERS M., MEER D.G. van der, GELUK M. & STEPHENSON R.A. (2015).- Sedimentary geology of the middle Carboniferous of the Donbas region (Dniepr-Donets basin, Ukraine).- *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, article 9099, 8 p. DOI: 10.1038/srep09099
- VOJTÉCH T. (1978).- Biological and stratigraphical significance of the Silurian nautiloid *Aptychopsis*.- *Lethaia Seminar*, vol. 11, p. 127-138.
- WEBER V.N. (1933).- Trilobites of the Donets Basin.- ONTI NKTP SSSR, Leningrad, Moscow, and Novosibirsk, 96 p. [in Russian].
- WRIGHT W.B. (1934).- The fresh-water fauna of the Lower Measures of Lancashire.- *Summary of progress of the Geological Survey of Great Britain and the Museum of Practical Geology for the year 1933*, London, vol. 2, p. 8-23.
- ZATOŃ M., DĘBOWIEC A. & PECK R.L. (2015).- Sublethal injuries in non-marine microconchid tubeworms from the Lower Carboniferous of West Virginia, USA.- *Historical Biology*, vol. 28, no. 8, p. 1125-1132.
- ZHEMCHUZHNIKOV Yu.A., YABLOKOV V.S., BOGOLYUBOV L.I., BOTVINKINA L.N., FEOFILOVA A.P., RITTENBERG M.I., TIMOFEYEV P.P. & TIMOFEYeva Z.V. (1959).- Structure and depositional environments of the main Middle Carboniferous coal-bearing formations of the Donets Basin. Part 1.- Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 332 p. [in Russian].
- ZONG R. & GONG Y. (2022).- Malformations in Late Devonian brachiopods from the western Junggar, NW China and their potential causes.- *PeerJ*, San Diego, article 10:e13447, 15 p. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13447